

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/043,657	01	/08/2002	Jeff Skillern	SKIL-001CON	SKIL-001CON 6012	
29698	7590	01/06/2005		EXAMINER		
LEIGH P. C		Y	YOUNG	YOUNG, LEE W		
ATTORNEY PO BOX 168				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
CLEMSON, SC 29633-0168				3727	_	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SY

			71
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	,
Advisory Action	10/043,657	SKILLERN, JEFF	
7.447.55.77.15.85.1	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lee W. Young	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f).	ire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejecti HE FINAL REJECTION.	on. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	od of extension and the corresponding amo of the shortened statutory period for reply Office later than three months after the mai	unt of the fee. The appropriate or the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	l because:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require ful	rther consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5.⊠ The a)⊠ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:		•
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13 and 15-26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square a	pproved or b) disapproved by t	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•	

LEE YOUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

10. Other: ___

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Amended claim 13 represents a new combination of limitations which was not previously considered...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: long felt need is a secondary consideration for obviousness rejections. The base rejections in the final action are anticipations rejection. Further, the long felt need agruments do not satisfy the criteria st forth in MPEP 716.04.

2